

Author version

Published article Citation:

Guiné RPF, Gonçalves FJA, Oliveira SJ, Correia PMR (2020) Evaluation of Phenolic Compounds, Antioxidant Activity and Bioaccessibility in *Physalis Peruviana* L.. International Journal of Fruit Science, 20(S2), S470-S490.

**Optimization of infrared-convective drying of white mulberry fruit using response surface methodology and development of a predictive model through artificial neural network**

**Iman Golpour<sup>a\*</sup>, Mohammad Kaveh<sup>b</sup>, Reza Amiri Chayjan<sup>c</sup> & Raquel P. F. Guiné<sup>d,e</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering of Biosystems, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biosystems Engineering, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

<sup>d</sup>CI&DETS, Polytechnic Institute of Viseu, Portugal

<sup>e</sup>CITAB, University of Trás-os-Montes, Vila Real, Portugal

**\*Corresponding author:** Iman Golpour, Department of Mechanical Engineering of Biosystems, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.

**Email address:** Imangolpour@gmail.com; **Tel:** (+98) 32752740; **Fax:** (+98) 32753172

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

**ABSTRACT**

In this research, a comparative approach was carried out between artificial neural networks (ANNs) and response surface methodology (RSM) to optimize the drying parameters during infrared–convective drying of white mulberry. The drying experiments were performed at different air temperatures (40, 55 and 70 °C), air velocities (0.4, 1 and 1.6 m/s) and infrared radiation power (500, 1000 and 1500 W). RSM focuses on maximization of effective moisture diffusivity ( $D_{eff}$ ) and minimization of specific energy consumption ( $SEC$ ) in the drying process. The optimized conditions were encountered for the air temperature of 70 °C, the air velocity of 0.4 m/s and the infrared power level of 1464.57 W. The optimum values of  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$  were  $1.77 \times 10^{-9}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s and 166.554 MJ/kg, respectively, with the desirability of 0.9670. Based on the statistical indices, the results showed that the Feed and Cascade Forward Back Propagation neural systems with application of Levenberg–Marquardt training algorithm and topologies of 3-20-20-1 and 3-10-10-1 were the best neural models to predict  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$ , respectively.

**Keywords** White mulberry. Effective moisture diffusivity. Specific energy consumption, Response surface methodology. Artificial neural network

**Introduction** 45

White mulberry (*Morus* sp.), a genus of family Moraceae, is a fruit producing tree that can 46  
grow in a wide range of climatic, topographical and soil conditions (Huang et al., 2011). 47  
Mulberry fruit is used for making confectionery products such as jam, marmalade, pulp and 48  
paste (Vijayan et al., 2011). 49

Drying is one of the oldest and most common methods used to preserve foods and it 50  
can be carried out either traditionally, by sun drying, or industrially by solar, hot air, infrared 51  
and other drying methods (Afolabi et al., 2015; Doymaz et al., 2016). Convective drying can 52  
improve energy efficiency and control the drying temperature and air humidity, which could 53  
be appropriate for temperature sensitive vegetables and fruits (Fan et al., 2014; Liu et al., 54  
2015). Infrared radiation (IR) has received much attention recently, because it constitutes one 55  
possible tool for that purpose (Hammouda and Mihoubi, 2014). IR technology for drying of 56  
foods could decrease drying time, maintain uniform temperature in the product and provide 57  
better-quality products (Song et al., 2016; Salehi and Kashaninejad, 2018b). The increase in 58  
the demand for high-quality shelf-stable dried fruits and vegetables requires the design, 59  
modeling and optimization of the drying processes, targeting the ultimate quality of the dried 60  
product while at the same time maximizing the efficiency of the process (Fealekari and Amiri 61  
Chayjan, 2014). 62

Response surface methodology (RSM) has been effectively employed in process and 63  
product improvement for experimental design and model development of food processing, 64  
due to the complexity of the reactions and nonhomogeneous structure of food products 65  
(Aghilinategh et al., 2015). Modeling and optimizing of the process are vital factors in drying 66  
technology to increase efficiency of the drying facilities. RSM has been repeatedly used to 67  
optimize food processes. In a research work, Sumic et al. (2016) employed RSM to optimize 68  
the parameters for vacuum drying of red currants. The results of the study showed the optimal 69

conditions for that process to be temperature of 70.2 °C, pressure of 39 mbar and drying time 70  
of 8 h. The use of RSM for optimization of osmo-vacuum drying of pear by Amiripour et al. 71  
(2015) evidenced the optimal conditions for moisture content, rehydration ratio and shrinkage 72  
to be 23.26 % (w.b.), 1.46 and 67.45 %, respectively. Amiri Chayjan et al. (2017) 73  
investigated the optimization of pistachio nut drying in a fluidized bed dryer with microwave 74  
pretreatment applying RSM. According to their results, the optimum values of effective 75  
diffusivity ( $D_{\text{eff}}$ ), shrinkage and specific energy consumption (SEC) were  $4.865 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , 76  
14.22 %, and 2.164 kWh, respectively. 77

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) constitute an alternative approach to solve 78  
problems, and their use has been increasing due to the possibility of outperforming traditional 79  
models. ANNs have been utilized successfully mainly due to their ability to adjust 80  
multivariable nonlinear functions (Silva et al., 2015; Golpour et al., 2015). In the field of 81  
drying processes, the neural networks are frequently used to model and predict the behavior 82  
of various products. Kaveh and Chayjan, (2015) predicted moisture ratio (MR), drying rate 83  
(DR), specific energy consumption, effective diffusivity and shrinkage for terebinth using 84  
fluidized bed dryer by ANNs. They showed that the highest values of  $R^2$  obtained were 85  
0.9965, 0.9730, 0.9855, 0.9932 and 0.9917, respectively for MR, DR, SEC,  $D_{\text{eff}}$  and 86  
shrinkage. Accordingly, Taghinezhad et al. (2019) used ANNs for prediction of effective 87  
moisture diffusivity, specific energy consumption, color and shrinkage in quince drying. The 88  
results of the research work showed ANNs had good predictive capability with values of  $R^2$  89  
higher than 0.97 for predicting all parameters. 90

Concerning the proper combinations of air temperature, infrared power and air 91  
velocity for optimum responses in combined convective and infrared drying, limited studies 92  
have been done up to now. Therefore, the overall objective of this study was to develop a 93  
predictive optimization model by coupling the two approaches of ANN and RSM as an 94

alternative to conventional procedures to predict the optimal conditions for the convective– 95  
infrared drying parameters of mulberry fruit, leading to minimum specific energy 96  
consumption and to maximum moisture diffusivity. 97

## **Materials and methods**

 98  
99

### **Sample Preparation**

 100

White mulberry fruits were obtained from the forests of Nalas city, West Azarbaijan 101  
province, Iran, in June 2018. The white mulberry samples were cleaned and stored at a 102  
refrigeration temperature of  $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . The initial moisture content of the fresh white mulberry 103  
samples was 2.43% (d.b.) that was determined in triplicate by applying a hot air oven at 70 104  
 $^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h, allowing reaching constant weight (Kaveh et al., 2018). About 40 g of mulberry 105  
fruits were used in each experiment. 106

### **Drying equipment**

 107

For this study, a laboratory infrared–convection dryer was developed at the Biosystems 108  
Engineering Department of Bu-Ali Sina, University, Hamedan, Iran (Figure 1). The essential 109  
parts of the drying system mainly consisted of an adjustable centrifugal blower, four infrared 110  
lamps (Philips, Belgium) with 2000 W power, electrical air heating chamber (2.4 kW), drying 111  
chamber, inverter (LS, Korea), thermostat (Atbin, Iran) and a tray sample. Mean values of air 112  
ambient temperature and relative humidity were variable in the ranges 25 to 31 $^\circ\text{C}$  and 24% to 113  
29%, respectively. During the drying experiments, the ambient air temperature, air relative 114  
humidity and inlet and outlet temperatures of the drying chamber were recorded at every 2 115  
minutes. After 30 min, when the conditions inside the drier reached the steady state, the dryer 116  
was loaded with  $40 \pm 1$  g of white mulberry and drying started. Experiments were performed 117

at 40, 55 and 70°C. For each air temperature, three air velocities (0.4, 1.0 and 1.6 m/s) and three IR lamp radiations (500, 1000 and 1500 W) were used. Samples were weighed during the drying process by a digital balance (AND GF6000, Japan) with 0.01 g accuracy. The drying process continued until the final moisture content fell from an initial moisture of about 2.43% (d.b.) to or below 0.15% (d.b.).

### Effective moisture diffusivity

Fick's second law is usually used to describe the drying characteristics of biological products during falling rate periods in diffusion mode (Cruz et al., 2015; Golpour et al., 2017).

The effective moisture diffusivity ( $D_{eff}$ ) can be estimated based on the drying data through Eq. (1), that applies to samples comparable to an infinite slab (Guiné et al., 2011).

$$MR = \frac{(M_t - M_e)}{(M_0 - M_e)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{b_n^2} \exp\left[-D_{eff} \frac{b_n^2 t}{r^2}\right] \quad (1)$$

where,  $MR$  is the dimensionless moisture ratio,  $M_t$  is the moisture content at any time (d.b.),  $M_e$  is the equilibrium moisture content (% d.b.),  $M_0$  is the initial moisture content (d.b.),  $b_n$  is the number of terms taken into consideration,  $t$  is the drying time (s),  $D_{eff}$  is the effective moisture diffusivity ( $m^2/s$ ). Moisture ratio ( $MR$ ) can be simplified to

$\frac{M_t}{M_0}$  because  $M_e$  was relatively small compared to  $M_t$  and  $M_0$  (Omolola et al., 2018). If the

second and following terms in the sum in Eq. (2) are neglected due to their small contribution, then the equation can be written in the logarithmic form as (Mota et al., 2010):

$$\ln(MR) = \ln\left(\frac{4}{b_n^2}\right) - D_{eff} \frac{b_1^2}{r^2} t \quad (2)$$

The slope ( $K_1$ ) is calculated by plotting  $t$  against  $\ln(MR)$  as follows: 135

$$K_1 = -D_{eff} \frac{b_1^2}{r^2} \quad (3)$$

### **Specific energy consumption** 136

The total energy needed for drying one charge of the drier together with the energy 137

requirements for drying 1 kg of fresh mulberry fruit were computed for each drying 138

experiment by the following Eq. (4) (Kaveh et al., 2018): 139

$$SEC = \left( \frac{Qt}{m_v} \right) \left( \frac{(C_{pa} + C_{pv}h_a)}{V_h} \right) (T_{in} - T_{am}) \quad (4)$$

where  $SEC$  is the specific energy consumption (kJ/kg) at each set of experimental conditions, 140

$Q$  is the inlet air flow to drying chamber ( $m^3/min$ ),  $t$  is the total drying time (min),  $m_v$  is the 141

mass of removed water (kg),  $C_{pv}$  and  $C_{pa}$  are the specific heat capacity of vapor and air, 142

respectively (1,004.16 and 1,828.8 J/kg.°C),  $h_a$  is the air absolute humidity ( $kg_{vapor}/kg_{dry\ air}$ ), 143

$V_h$  is the air specific volume ( $m^3/kg$ ) and  $T_{in}$  and  $T_{am}$  are the air temperatures, entering the 144

chamber and in the ambient surrounding (°C), respectively. 145

### **Response surface methodology modeling** 146

### **Experimental design** 147

The effect of three independent variables, A (air temperature), B (air velocity) and C (infrared 148

power), on two responses (effective moisture diffusivity and specific energy consumption) 149

was evaluated by RSM. In total, 20 experiments were established based on a face-centered  
 central composite design (FCCD) by applying the design expert statistical software (V7) for  
 simultaneous optimization of the multiple responses. These 20 experiments were generated  
 including six replicates of the center point to calculate the repeatability of the method.

Table 1 shows the levels of each independent variable applied, whereas the  
 combination of variables and the corresponding responses for RSM analysis are shown in  
 Table 2. In this design of experiments, three coded levels for each variable were selected: -1,  
 0 and +1 corresponding to the low, medium and high levels for each independent variable,  
 respectively (Table 1). The central values (level zero) chosen for experiment design to  
 develop the regression equation were 55 °C for air temperature, 1 m/s for air velocity and  
 1000 W for infrared power.

### Model development

The obtained models were developed from regression coefficients under a range of  
 experimental factors. The behavior of the response surface was studied for each of the  
 response variables ( $Y_i$ ). The experimental data were fitted to a quadratic polynomial model  
 which allowed estimating the regression coefficients. The generalized quadratic polynomial  
 model proposed to predict the response variables is as follows:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_A A + \beta_B B + \beta_C C + \beta_{AA} A^2 + \beta_{BB} B^2 + \beta_{CC} C^2 + \beta_{AB} AB + \beta_{AC} AC + \beta_{BC} BC \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_{A,B,C}$ ,  $\beta_{AA,BB,CC}$ , and  $\beta_{AB,AC,BC}$  are the interaction coefficients of constant, linear,  
 quadratic and the second-order terms, respectively, while  $A, B, C$  are the coded independent  
 variables for air temperature, air velocity and Infrared power. Modeling started with a  
 quadratic model including linear, squared and interaction terms, and the adequacies of model

were checked in terms of the values of  $R^2$ , adjusted  $R^2$ , and prediction error sum of squares (PRESS). 173  
174

### **Statistical analysis** 175

The ranges and levels of independent variables are presented in Table 2. The experimental 176  
plan for optimization included three dependent variables: temperature (40, 55 and 70 °C), air 177  
velocity (0.4, 1 and 1.6 m/s) and infrared power (500, 1000 and 1500 W). All experiments 178  
were replicated three times. Using software Design Expert (V7), analysis of regression and 179  
statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) were conducted by fitting Eq. (5) to the 180  
experimental data to evaluate the regression coefficients and statistical significance of model 181  
terms. Also, ANOVA was implemented for checking the adequacy and accuracy of the fitted 182  
models (Changrue et al., 2017). The significance of the model terms was assessed by F-ratio 183  
at a probability (P) of 0.05. The values of  $R^2$ , adjusted- $R^2$ , predicted error sum of squares 184  
(PRESS) and lack-of-fit of models were evaluated to check the model adequacies. The 185  
regression coefficients were employed to establish statistical computations for generating the 186  
response surface plots from the regression models. Finally, the optimum values of the 187  
selected variables were obtained by solving the regression equation and by analyzing the 188  
response surface contour plots. 189

### **Optimizing the drying process** 190

The desired goal for each independent variable and response was established. The 191  
independent variables were kept within the range of experimental conditions selected while 192  
the responses were set to maximum for diffusivity and minimum for SEC. Equal weights 193  
were assigned to each goal to adjust the shape of desirability function for optimization of the 194

multiple responses (Table 3). Second order polynomial models were used in this study for 195  
each response to determine the specified optimum conditions. 196

In order to find an optimum solution, the goals were combined into an overall 197  
composite function  $D(x)$ , called desirability function, whose value for  $n$  responses, which can 198  
be defined as (Fealekari and Amiri Chayjan, 2014): 199

$$D(x) = (d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3 \times d_4 \times d_5 \dots \times d_n)^{1/n} \quad (6)$$

For any response, the desirability can vary from a minimum of zero, when one or 200  
more responses fall outside the desirable limits, to a maximum of one, which is the ideal case. 201

### **ANN design** 202

The schematic structure of ANNs used in this research work to predict the diffusivity and 203  
SEC is shown in Figure 2. Input variable levels, boundaries and levels of input parameters are 204  
shown in Table 4. In this study, the neural network toolbox using the 205  
2017 version of MATLAB software was used to predict output variables. The 27 data 206  
patterns, achieved from various experimental data, were randomly divided into 19 (70%) and 207  
8 (30%), which were used for training and testing the neural networks, respectively (Kaveh et 208  
al., 2017; Golpour et al., 2015). The input layer had three neurons (air velocity, drying air 209  
temperature and infrared power) and the network output layer had one neuron (diffusivity or 210  
SEC). The number of neurons varied from 2 to 20 in both hidden layers. 211

A multilayer perceptron (MLP) neural network with different hidden layers (one and 212  
two) was trained and tested. MLP is a layered feed forward back propagation (FFBP) and 213  
cascade forward back propagation (CFBP) network typically trained with static back 214  
propagation. Its main advantages are easiness to use and approximation of any input/output 215  
parameters. 216

In this work was examined the effect of several error minimization algorithms, such as Bayesian regulation (BR) and Levenberg-Marquardt (LM), on the performance of the ANN model. Different transfer functions, like the hyperbolic tangent sigmoid (TANSIG), the logarithmic sigmoid (LOGSIG), and linear (PURELIN), were used to assess the neuron output. After using appropriate learning algorithms and transfer functions, the effect of neuron number and training epochs on ANN performance were studied. To develop a statistical model, networks were trained three times and the best values were recorded for each parameter.

About 70% of the experimental data were separated for network training to find a suitable structure. The goodness of fit of the optimal ANN was based on coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), mean square error ( $MSE$ ), and mean absolute error ( $MAE$ ) for the tested models, as follows (Khazaei et al., 2013):

$$MSE = \frac{1}{nq} \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^q (S_{ip} - T_{ip})^2 \quad (7)$$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m [S_k - T_k]}{\sum_{k=1}^m \left[ S_k - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n S_k}{n} \right]} \quad (8)$$

$$MAE = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{S_k - T_k}{T_k} \right| \quad (9)$$

where  $S_{ip}$  is the network output in  $i$ -th neuron and  $p$ -th pattern,  $T_{ip}$  is the target output at  $i$ -th neuron and  $p$ -th pattern,  $q$  is the number of output neurons,  $n$  is the number of training patterns,  $S_k$  is the network output for  $k$ -th pattern and  $T_k$  is the target output for  $k$ -th pattern.

To increase the accuracy and processing velocity of network, input data were normalized at domain of [0, 1].

## Results and discussion

### RSM modeling

The optimization of  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$  for dried white mulberry was based on maximizing  $D_{eff}$  and minimizing  $SEC$ . In order to reduce the number of parameters to be tested, several parameters were previously evaluated in a wide range of values prior to RSM optimization (Bachir bey et al., 2013). According to the results, a quadratic model was significant for obtaining the desired responses. The second-order polynomial equation was fitted to the experimental results obtained on the basis of a face-centered central composite design (FCCD).

### Experimental design

The final modified quadratic models for relation between responses ( $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$ ) and input variables are given in Eqs. (10) and (11) in terms of coded factors:

$$D_{eff} = 8.918 \times 10^{-10} + 3.443 \times 10^{-11} A + 7.630 \times 10^{-11} B + 3.270 \times 10^{-11} C - 2.154 \times 10^{-10} AB + 1.001 \times 10^{-10} AC - 1.529 \times 10^{-10} BC - 1.350 \times 10^{-10} A^2 + 1.720 \times 10^{-10} B^2 + 1.720 \times 10^{-10} C^2 \quad (10)$$

$$SEC = 525.87 + 83.17A + 219.32B - 78.80C + 48.14AB + 15.88AC - 41.77BC - 89.34A^2 - 71.63B^2 + 40.66C^2 \quad (11)$$

where the values of parameters A, B, and C are defined in Table 2.

The equations aimed to determine the effect of individual variables or combination of several variables over the responses. Positive coefficient values reveal the positive interaction

of factors and the impact on combined convective with infrared drying process, whereas the 249  
negative coefficient values point to the detrimental and interfering effect of the parameters on 250  
overall drying. From Eq. (10), it is clear that all individual factors (A, B, C) had a positive 251  
effect on the response of  $D_{eff}$ . All the linear variables, except infrared power (C), had a 252  
positive relation with  $SEC$  whereas the  $SEC$  raised with increasing air temperature (A) and 253  
air velocity (B) levels. Therefore, infrared power had a negative effect on  $SEC$ , increasing 254  
energy consumption and, consequently, the costs. 255

### **Effective moisture diffusivity response** 256

Table 5 illustrates the ANOVA results for different drying experiments. The p-value accounts 257  
for the significance of each coefficient describing the interactions between the independent 258  
variables. The lower the p-values, the more significant are the model terms. For  $p < 0.05$  the 259  
model term is significant (Das et al., 2014), considering 95% confidence. According to the 260  
results obtained, air temperature ( $P < 0.0001$ ), air velocity ( $P = 0.0109$ ) and infrared power ( $P$  261  
 $= 0.0038$ ), all had significant effect on  $D_{eff}$  (Table 5). Also, the interaction of air temperature 262  
with air velocity and infrared power had significant effect on  $D_{eff}$  but interaction of quadratic 263  
values of air velocity and air temperature had no significant effect on  $D_{eff}$  ( $P > 0.05$ ) (Table 264  
5). 265

The model F-value was calculated as ratio of mean square regression and mean square 266  
residue. The ANOVA for  $D_{eff}$  shows an F-value of 17.71, which is significant according to 267  
the corresponding p-value (Table 5). Coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) and adjusted  $R^2$  (adj- 268  
 $R^2$ ) were calculated to check the adequacy and fitness of the model. According to the results 269  
presented in Table 6, for  $D_{eff}$  the quadratic model with  $R^2 = 0.9410$  was the best model. The 270

adequacy and fitness of the models were tested by ANOVA, and the results showed that the equation adequately represented the real relation between a set of independent variables and the responses (Tables 5, 6).

To visualize the combined effect of the two factors on the response, the response surface and contour plots were generated for each of the models in the function of two independent variables, while keeping the remaining independent variable at the central value (Figures. 3 a-c). Figure. 3 illustrates the 3D surface of  $D_{eff}$  versus air temperature, air velocity and infrared power. According to Figures. 3a and 3b, it was observed that both air temperature and velocity simultaneously influenced  $D_{eff}$ . With increasing air temperature, air velocity and infrared power,  $D_{eff}$  was increased. However, the effect of air temperature was more pronounced when compared with the other independent variables. Also, the effect of infrared power was more intense than that of air velocity. Increase in air temperature led to increasing the drying rate. Also at the initial stage of the drying process, when the water vapor at the surface of the sample was more concentrated, increasing air velocity led to higher mass transfer between the surrounding air and the samples and, subsequently, an increase in  $D_{eff}$  (Motevali and Tabatabaei, 2017). Similar results have been observed in drying of some agricultural products such as apple slices (Zhu et al., 2010), rough rice (Khir et al., 2011), soybean (Niamnuy et al., 2012), jujube (Chen et al., 2015), potato (Onwude et al., 2018). The increase in air temperature from 40 to 70 °C and air velocity from 0.4 to 1.6 m/s, increased  $D_{eff}$  to a maximum value of  $1.77 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ .

### **Specific energy consumption response**

ANOVA results for SEC are shown in Table 7. The model can be considered as statistically significant if the p-value is lower than 0.05 and the value of F is high. The model F-value of

16.28 together with the very low p-value ( $P < 0.0001$ ) for the dependent variable *SEC* 294  
implies that the model is significant. 295

The coefficient estimates and the corresponding p-values suggest that, among the 296  
independent variables used in the study, the linear terms A (air temperature), B (air velocity), 297  
and C (infrared power) ( $P < 0.0001$ ) had the greatest effect on the response variable (*SEC*). 298  
The interaction of air temperature with air velocity and interaction of air velocity with 299  
infrared power ( $P < 0.05$ ), quadratic value of air temperature ( $P < 0.0001$ ), quadratic values 300  
of air velocity and quadratic values of infrared power, had significant effect on *SEC*. The 301  
statistical analysis gave high significant level, confirming the goodness of fit of the model in 302  
case of the *SEC* ( $P < 0.0001$ ). The contribution of quadratic model was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) 303  
for response of the dependent variable *SEC* (Table 7). The ANOVA analysis indicates a 304  
good model performance, with  $R^2 = 0.9932$  for *SEC* (Table 8). A high coefficient of 305  
determination indicates that the variables adequately fit the regression equation, which means 306  
that the empirical model well described the variations during drying. The use of an adjusted 307  
 $R^2$  ( $\text{adj-}R^2$ ) aims to evaluate the model adequacy and fitness, by correcting the  $R^2$  value for 308  
the sample size as well as the number of terms in the model. The  $\text{adj-}R^2$  value (0.9870 309  
for *SEC*) indicates a high fitting capacity of the model (Table 8). 310

The best way of expressing the effect of any independent variable on the *SEC* was to 311  
generate surface response plots of the model, which were done by varying two variables 312  
within the experimental range under investigation and holding the other variable at its central 313  
level (0 level). Effect of process parameters on *SEC* is shown in Figure 4. Minimum *SEC* 314  
was recorded when the air temperature, air velocity and infrared power were 40 °C, 0.4 m/s 315  
and 150 W, respectively, while the maximum was at 70 °C, 1.6 m/s and 500 W, respectively 316  
(Figure 4a-c). 317

Both air temperature and velocity exhibit a positive linear effect on SEC, whereas the infrared power exerts a negative linear effect, as shown in Figure 4a-c. Hence, SEC decreased substantially with increase in infrared power (Figure 4 b, c) and increased with increasing drying temperature and air velocity. Due to the reduction of water vapor in the surface of the samples, increase in drying rate and subsequently decrease in drying time, the lowest SEC was obtained at lowest air velocities. Similar results have been reported in drying shiitake mushroom (Kantrong et al., 2014) and apple (El-mesery and Mwithiga, 2015).

### **Optimization of process parameters**

Optimum conditions for convective–infrared drying of mulberry were determined to obtain maximum  $D_{eff}$  and minimum SEC. Using the desirability function method, 20 solutions were obtained for the optimum covering indicators with desirability value of 0.9670. Optimum values of process variables were: 0.4 m/s for air velocity, 70°C for air temperature, and 1464.57 W for infrared power. The values of  $D_{eff}$  and SEC at these drying conditions were predicted as  $1.77 \times 10^{-9}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s and 166.55 MJ/kg, respectively. The positive effect of temperature on  $D_{eff}$  leads to proposing high desirability. The study accomplished by Sharma and Prasad (Sharma and Prasad, 2006) proposed the maximum air temperature (70°C) for the optimization of microwave drying process of garlic. Also the maximum air temperature was selected for optimization of processing parameters of horse mackerel (Shi et al., 2008).

### **Artificial neural networks (ANNs)**

Tables 9 and 10 summarize a list of the best neural network topology structures, threshold functions and different applied algorithms in predicting  $D_{eff}$  and SEC. Most applied topologies and threshold functions have proper training errors. Therefore, ANN is suitable for

modeling the drying of white mulberry. The main reason for ANN convergence might be the large amount of the input patterns.

Two strategies, which included similar and various threshold functions for all layers, were used to study the effect of different threshold functions on FFBP and CFBP outputs (Tables 9 and 10). These strategies, together with learning algorithms of LM and BR, were used for training the FFBP and CFBP networks. Various topologies were chosen as the best results from each network, training algorithm and threshold functions.

Tables 9 and 10 present the results of ANN modeling of  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$  variations during drying of white mulberry samples. The effects of hidden layer number and neuron number in each hidden layer on precision of the predicting can be seen from the Tables. As shown in Table 9, among the applied networks for predicting  $D_{eff}$ , the FFBP network with a topology of 3-20-20-1,  $R^2 = 0.9952$ ,  $MSE = 0.0003$ , TANSIG-TANSIG-TANSIG and LM training algorithm at 30 training epochs had the best estimation with minimum MSE.

Similar finding has been reported for the fluidized drying of pomegranate seed cultivars, in which case the  $D_{eff}$  was predicted using artificial neural network with  $R^2 = 0.9972$  (Amiri chayjan et al., 2012). Also, Amiri Chayjan et al. (2012) reported the best results for FFBP neural network for  $D_{eff}$  of sour cherry drying belonged to TANSIG threshold function with LM algorithm with  $R^2 = 0.9994$  in the first strategy.

Table 10 shows that, among the applied networks to predict the SEC the CFBP network with a topology of 3-10-10-1,  $R^2 = 0.9899$ ,  $MSE = 0.0008$ , TANSIG-PURELIN-TANSIG and LM training algorithm in 15 epochs had the best estimation with the minimum MSE. These findings are in agreement with results reported by Kaveh et al. (2018) for drying properties of pistachio nuts, squash and cantaloupe seeds under fixed and fluidized bed using

Cascade forward back propagation network for predicting  $SEC$  with  $R^2 = 0.9667$  at 60 training epochs.

Figure 5 compares for both variables  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$  the predicted values with the desired output values, using the optimal ANN, and shows the data points are banded around a  $45^\circ$  straight line, demonstrating the suitability of the selected static multilayer feed-forward ANNs for the infrared–convective drying of white mulberry. Therefore, it can be seen that the predicted energy consumption and  $D_{eff}$  by applying the optimal topology of ANN are very close to those of experiment data.

The findings of this study reveal that the optimized ANN model can certainly replace the mathematically constitutive models in predicting the drying parameters of mulberry fruit, because it takes passable efficiency of experimental data into account and automatically improves itself through learning. In addition, the ANN models have the capability to ameliorate their efficiency with results obtained from further new experiments, with or without new processing situations. This provides the gradual possibility of establishment of a singular powerful model which can be of premier significance in automatic control system.

## Conclusions

This study reviews the performance of RSM and ANN methods for optimization of drying parameters and prediction of output variables ( $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$ ) using the experimental data in the infrared–convective drying of white mulberry. Based on the profiles of response surface, drying at higher air temperature, velocity and infrared power allowed obtaining higher values of  $D_{eff}$ , whereas higher retention of  $SEC$  was found for drying at lower infrared power. The results indicated that quadratic model could work well for the prediction of the two studied variables. Air temperature of  $70^\circ\text{C}$ , air velocity of  $0.4\text{ m/s}$  and infrared power of  $1464.57\text{ W}$

were proposed as optimum independent variables. Optimum values of  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$  were 387  
1.77×10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s and 166.554 MJ/kg, respectively, with a desirability of 0.9670. Taking into 388  
consideration the results from modeling analyses, it can be concluded that ANN and RSM 389  
models demonstrated reasonable performance in predicting  $D_{eff}$  and  $SEC$ . The results further 390  
showed that LM training algorithm of back-propagation with the minimum MSE was suitable 391  
for predicting the drying parameters. The values of the coefficient of determination were 392  
acceptable for both models ( $R^2 = 0.9952$  for  $D_{eff}$  and  $R^2 = 0.9899$  for  $SEC$ ). 393

**Compliance with ethical standards** 394

**Conflict of interest** There are no conflicts to declare. 395

**Compliance with ethical requirements** This article does not contain any studies with human 396  
or animal subject. 397

## References 398

- Afolabi, T.J., T.Y.Tunde-akintunde, and J.A. Adeyanju. 2015. Mathematical modeling of drying 399  
kinetics of untreated and pretreated cocoyam slices. *J Food Sci Technol.* 52 (5): 2731–2740. 400  
doi: 10.1007/s13197-014-1365-z 401
- Aghilinategh, N., S. Rafiee, S. Hosseinpour, M. Omid, and S.S. Mohtasebi. 2015. Optimization of 402  
intermittent microwave–convective drying using response surface methodology. *Food Sci* 403  
*nutrition.* 3(4): 331–341. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.224 404
- Amiri chayjan, R., M. Kaveh, N. Dibagar, and M.Z. Nejad. 2017. Optimization of pistachio nut 405  
drying in a fluidized bed dryer with microwave pretreatment applying response surface 406  
methodology. *Chem Prod Process Model.* 12(3): doi.10.1515/cppm-2016-0048 407
- Amiri Chayjan, R., K. Salari, and H. Barikloo. 2012. Modelling moisture diffusivity of pomegranate 408  
seed cultivars under fixed, semi fluidized and fluidized bed using mathematical and neural 409  
network methods. *Acta Sci Polym Technol Aliment.* 11(2):137–49: 10. doi:1515/cppm-2013- 410  
0009 411
- Amiripour, M., M.B. Habibi-najafi, M. Mohebbi, and B. Emadi. 2015. Optimization of osmo- 412  
vacuum drying of pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) using response surface methodology. *Food Measure.* 413  
9(3): 269–280. doi.10.1007/s11694-015-9232-9 414
- Bachir bey, M., H. Louaileche, and S. Zemouri. 2013. Optimization of phenolic compound recovery 415  
and antioxidant activity of light and dark dried fig (*Ficus carica* L.) varieties. *Food Sci* 416  
*Biotechnol.* 22: 1613–1619. doi. 10.1007/s10068-013-0258-7 417
- Changrue, V., V. Orsat, G.S.V. Raghavan, and D. Lyew. 2008. Effect of osmotic dehydration on the 418  
dielectric Properties of carrots and strawberries. *J Food Eng.* 88: 280–286. 419  
doi.10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.02.012 420

Chayjan, R. A., M. Kaveh, and S. Khayati, 2014. Modeling some drying characteristics of sour cherry ( <i>Prunus cerasus</i> L.) under infrared radiation using mathematical models and artificial neural networks. <i>Agric Eng Int: CIGR Journal</i> , 16(1): 265-279.	421 422 423
Chen, Q., J. Bi, X. Wu, J. Yi, L. Zhou, and Y. Zhou. 2015. Drying kinetics and quality attributes of jujube ( <i>Zizyphus jujuba</i> Miller) slices dried by hot-air and short- and medium-wave infrared radiation. <i>LWT - Food Sci Technol.</i> 64 (2): 759-766. doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.06.071	424 425 426
Cruz, A. C., R.P.F. Guiné, and J.C. Gonçalves. 2015. Drying kinetics and product quality for convective drying of apples (cvs. Golden Delicious and Granny Smith). <i>International Journal of Fruit Science</i> , 15:54–78. doi.10.1080/15538362.2014.931166	427 428 429
Das, A., U. Raychaudhuri, and R. Chakraborty. 2014. Optimization of wheatgrass fortified steamed rice cake using response surface methodology. <i>J Food Res Technol.</i> 2(2): 24-30	430 431
Doymaz, I, S. Karasu, M. Baslar. 2016. Effects of infrared heating on drying kinetics, antioxidant activity, phenolic content, and color of jujube fruit. <i>Food Measure.</i> 10(2): 283–291. doi.10.1007/s11694-016-9305-4	432 433 434
El-mesery, H.S., and G. Mwithiga. 2015. Performance of a convective, infrared and combined infrared- convective heated conveyor-belt dryer. <i>J Food Sci Technol.</i> 52(5): 2721–2730. doi.org/10.1007/s13197-014-1347-1	435 436 437
Fan, H., S.Q. Shao, and C.Q. Tian. 2014. Performance investigation on a multi-unit heat pump for simultaneous temperature and humidity control. <i>Applied Energy.</i> 113 (6): 883–890. doi.10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.08.043	438 439 440
Fealekari, M., and R. Amiri Chayjan. 2014. Optimization of convective drying process for Persian shallot using response surface method (RSM). <i>Agric Eng Int: CIGR J</i> 2014; 16 (2): 157-166.	441 442
Golpour, I., R. Amiri Chayjan, J. Amiri parian, and J. Khazaei. 2015. Prediction of paddy moisture content during thin layer drying using machine vision and artificial neural networks. <i>J Agric Sci Technol.</i> 17(2): 287-298	443 444 445
Golpour, I., M.Z. Nejad, R.A. Chayjan, A.M. Nikbakht, R.P. Guiné, and M. Dowlati. 2017. Investigating shrinkage and moisture diffusivity of melon seed in a microwave assisted thin layer fluidized bed dryer. <i>Food Measure.</i> 11(1): 1-11. doi.10.1007/s11694-016-9365-5	446 447 448
Guiné, R.P.F., S. Pinho, M.J. and Barroca. 2011. Study of the convective drying of pumpkin ( <i>Cucurbita maxima</i> ). <i>Food Bioprod Process.</i> 89: 422–428. doi.10.1016/j.fbp.2010.09.001	449 450
Hammouda, I., and D. Mihoubi. 2014. Comparative numerical study of kaolin clay with three drying methods: Convective, convective–microwave and convective infrared modes. <i>Energy Conver Manag.</i> 87: 832–839. doi.10.1016/j.enconman.2014.07.085.	451 452 453
Huang, I., D. Wu, H. Jin, J. Zhang, Y. He, and C. Lou. 2011. Internal quality determination of fruit with bumpy surface using visible and near infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics: A case study with mulberry fruit. <i>Biosystem Eng.</i> 109: 377 -384. doi.10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2011.05.003	454 455 456
Kantrong, H., A. Tansakul, A, and G.S Mittal. 2014. Drying characteristics and quality of shiitake mushroom undergoing microwave-vacuum drying and microwave-vacuum combined with infrared drying. <i>J Food Sci Technol.</i> 51 (12): 3594–3608. Doi. 10.1007/s13197-012-0888-4	457 458 459
Kaveh, M., R. A. Chayjan, 2015. Mathematical and neural network modeling of terebinth fruit under fluidized bed drying. <i>Res Agric Eng.</i> 61(2):55–65. doi:10.17221/56/2013-RAE	460 461
Kaveh, M., R. Amiri Chayjan, and A.M. Nikbakht. 2017. Mass transfer characteristics of eggplant slices during length of continuous band dryer. <i>Heat Mass Transf.</i> 53(6): 2045- 2059. doi.10.1007/s00231-016-1961-8.	462 463 464
Kaveh M, V.R. Sharabiani, R. Amiri Chayjan, E. Taghinezhad, Y. Abbaspour-Gilandeh, and I. Golpour. 2018. ANFIS and ANNs model for prediction of moisture diffusivity and specific energy consumption potato, garlic and cantaloupe drying under convective hot air dryer. <i>Inform Process Agric.</i> 5: 372-387. doi.10.1016/j.inpa.2018.05.003	465 466 467 468
Khazaei, N.B., T. Tavakoli, H. Ghassemian, M.H. Khoshtaghaza, and A Banakar. 2013. Applied machine vision and artificial neural network for modeling and controlling of the grape drying process. <i>Comput Electron Agric.</i> 98: 205–213. doi.10.1016/j.compag.2013.08.010	469 470 471
Khir, R., Z. Pan, A. Salim, B.R. Hartsough, and S. Mohamed. 2011. Moisture diffusivity of rough rice under infrared radiation drying. <i>LWT - Food Sci Technol.</i> 44: 1126- 1132. doi.10.1016/j.lwt.2010.10.003	472 473 474

Liu, Y., Y. Sun, S. Miao, F. Li, and D. Luo. 2015. Drying characteristics of ultrasound assisted hot air drying of Flos Lonicerae. <i>J Food Sci_Technol.</i> 52(8): 4955- 4964. doi. 10.1007/s13197-014-1612-3	475 476 477
Mota, C.L., C. Luciano, A. Dias, M.J. Barroca, and R.P.F. Guiné. 2010. Convective drying of onion: Kinetics and nutritional Evaluation. <i>Food Bioprod Process.</i> 88: 115–123. doi.10.1016/j.fbp.2009.09.004	478 479 480
Motevali, A., and S. R. Tabatabaei. 2017. A comparison between pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions from operation of different dryers based on energy consumption of power plants. <i>J Clean Prod.</i> 154: 455-461. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.219">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.219</a>	481 482 483
Niamnuy, C., M. Nachaisin, N. Poomsa-ad, and S. Devahastin. 2012. Kinetic modelling of drying and conversion/ degradation of isoflavones during infrared drying of soybean. <i>Food Chem.</i> 133(3): 946-952. doi.10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.010	484 485 486
Omolola, A.O., P.F. Kapila, H.M. Silungwe. 2018. Mathematical modeling of drying characteristics of Jew’s mallow ( <i>Corchorus olitorius</i> ) leaves. <i>Inform Process Agric.</i> 6(1): 109-115. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpa.2018.08.003">doi.org/10.1016/j.inpa.2018.08.003</a>	487 488 489
Onwude, D.I., N. Hashim, K. Abdan, R. Janius, and G. Chen. 2018. Investigating the influence of novel drying methods on sweet potato ( <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L.): Kinetics, energy consumption, color, and microstructure. <i>J Food Process Eng.</i> 41(4): e12686. doi. 10.1111/jfpe.12686	490 491 492
Salehi, F., and M. Kashaninejad. 2018b. Modeling of moisture loss kinetics and color changes in the surface of lemon slice during the combined infrared-vacuum drying. <i>Inform Process Agric.</i> 5(4): 516-523. doi.10.1016/j.inpa.2018.05.006	493 494 495
Sharma, G. P., and P. Prasad. 2006. Optimization of process parameters for microwave drying of garlic cloves. <i>J Food Eng.</i> 75(4), 441–446. doi.10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2005.04.029	496 497
Shi, Q.L., C.H. Xue, Y. Zhao, Z.J. Li, X.Y. Wang, and D.L. Luan. 2008. Optimization of processing parameters of horse mackerel ( <i>Trachurus japonicus</i> ) dried in a heat pump dehumidifier using response surface methodology. <i>J Food Eng.</i> 87(1): 74–81. doi.10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.11.010	498 499 500
Silva, B.G.D., A.M.F. Fileti, and O.P. Taranto. 2015. Drying of brazilian pepper-tree fruits ( <i>Schinus terebinthifolius</i> Raddi): development of classical models and artificial neural network approach. <i>Chem Eng Commun.</i> 202:1089–1097. doi.10.1080/00986445.2014.901220	501 502 503
Song, X., H. Hao, and Z. Baoling. 2016. Drying characteristics of chinese yam ( <i>Dioscorea opposita</i> Thunb.) by far-infrared radiation and heat pump. <i>J Saudi Soc Agric Sci.</i> 17(3): 290-296. doi. 10.1016/j.jssas.2016.05.008	504 505 506
Sumic, Z., A. Vakula, A. Tepic, J. Cakarevic, J. Vitas, and B. Pavlic. 2016. Modeling and optimization of red currants vacuum drying process by response surface methodology (RSM). <i>Food Chem.</i> 203: 465–475. doi.10.1016/j.jssas.2016.05.008	507 508 509
Taghinezhad, E., M. Kaveh, A. Jahanbakhshi, and I. Golpour. 2019. Use of artificial intelligence for the estimation of effective moisture diffusivity, specific energy consumption, color and shrinkage in quince drying. <i>J. Food Process Eng.</i> e13358. doi: 10.1111/jfpe.13358	510 511 512
Vijayan, K., B. Saratchandra, and Silva, J.A.A. 2011. Germplasm conservation in mulberry ( <i>Morus</i> spp.). <i>Scientia Horticulturae</i> 2011; 128: 371–379. doi.10.1016/j.scienta.2010.11.012	513 514
Zhu, Y., Z. Pan, T.H. Mchugh, and D.M. Barrett. 2010. Processing and quality characteristics of apple slices processed under simultaneous infrared dry-blanching and dehydration with intermittent heating. <i>J Food Eng.</i> 97(1): 8-16. doi.10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2009.07.021	515 516 517 518
	519
	520
	521
	522